LAWSUIT REQUEST FOR QUOTE (RFQ) RECEIVED BY EXTERNAL LAW FIRM:

Whereas Defendants John Doerr, Elon Reeve Musk and their respective business ownerships operate a Cartel known to law enforcement, and the media, as “the Silicon Valley Cartel”, and they have been documented, by evidence from: journalists, task force investigators, Freedom Of Information Act responses, members of the U.S. Congress, eye-witness reports, Plaintiffs own eye-witness experiences, New York stock exchange data sets, emails, leaks, and a variety of other sources engaging in the following activities against Plaintiffs:

The media, investigators and law enforcement have documented collusion and conspiracy efforts by the Silicon Valley Cartel. One such case is known by, and web searchable under, the keyword search: “AngelGate:. Another such case is known by, and web searchable under, the keyword search: “Silicon Valley Cartel No Poaching Conspiracy”. Another such case is known by, and web searchable under, the keyword search: “Micheal Arrington Honey Trap”. Another such case is known by, and web searchable under, the keyword search: “Elon Musk Taxpayer Money”. Another such case is known by, and web searchable under, the keyword search: “Solyndra Corruption”. Another such case is known by, and web searchable under, the keyword search: “Ray Lane Tax Evasion”. Many other news stories and cases exist relative to this Cartel. Over 40 law enforcement agencies have over 60 case numbers involving this Cartel. Additional search terms include: “60 Minutes The CleanTech Crash”, “60 Minutes The Lobbyists Playbook”, “60 Minutes Congress Trading on Insider Information”, “NVCA Corruption”, “Kleiner Perkins Founder Nazi Comment”, “Kleiner Perkins Khosla closes california beach”, “John Doerr corruption”, “Kliener Perkins Sex Abuse”, many other third-party case and Cartel corruption investigation confirmation references are available.

At the U.S. Patent Office database search engine located at: http://patft.uspto.gov/netahtml/PTO/search-bool.html , when you search the Defendants names, you see that they do not have a single patent, or invention, listed where they are the sole inventor. In some cases their names appear among an inventors list of multiple engineers but in each case the other engineers claim to be the actual inventors and that Defendants were simply the investors for those engineers who insisted on putting their names on the filing. Plaintiffs, on the other hand, have been awarded dozens of patents as sole inventors and documented by the media, The U.S. Government, and law enforcement as the first to design, engineer, demonstrate and seek to market those products. In almost each, and every case, Plaintiffs exploited Defendants patents, ideas and products and made many billions of dollars in profit from those exploitations without ever compensating Plaintiffs. In the vast number of such cases, Defendants, or their agents, asked to look at the business plans, and confidential information from Plaintiffs, under the pretext of “possibly being investors” and then directly copied those products or passed them over to their Cartel associates to copy and exploit them without compensation.

Defendants sent individuals to Plaintiffs who were their “Moles”. Moles are individuals who secretly work for the Defendants and pretend to be seeking to help the Plaintiffs while spying on, sabotaging and misdirecting the Plaintiffs to the advantage of the Defendants.

Hackers and federal investigators have acquired substantial internal documents, recordings, emails and other data from Defendants files, which indicates crimes.

Defendants hired contractors, and used investigative services, with which they have a financial and management relationship, to hack, spoof, redirect, and otherwise manipulate the electronic communications and connections of Plaintiffs in order to harass, damage, and spy on, Plaintiffs. Electronic testing arrays were assembled by Plaintiffs IT security and private investigation experts to trap and document these electronic harassment attacks by Defendants. In one such investigation, Plaintiff's team created over 100 Weebly and Wordpress websites for various topics, that other web sites had gotten high traffic on, and posted ads on each one, hosted with many different hosting companies. In each and every case, not a single PayPal payment was received, even though millions of users, in traffic, were reading the sites. No ad link referrals were passed to the ad affiliate provider service bureaus, proving that the Cartel was relinking, and spoofing, the spine connections and DNS routing of the sites on a very vast scale.

In one instance , when Plaintiffs acquired the first patents for, demonstrated, received funding for, began marketing and received favorable feature broadcast news and major print media acclaim for one technology, Defendants, and their agents solicited Plaintiffs to show them the business plan, copied the technology, sabotaged Plaintiffs efforts, and made tens of billions of dollars off of the duplicate technology. Records show that Defendants had no project in development, related to Plaintiffs technology, until after they had acquired the Plaintiffs business plans.

In another case, Plaintiffs received world acclaim for a developing a technology that Defendants asked to look at and did examine under the guise of "maybe we might invest in you". Defendants have now invested many billions in launching that technology for themselves, after waiting for the expiration date on Plaintiff's first patents to expire.

In another case, according to investigators and law enforcement, Defendants bribed, conspired with, and exchanged beneficial mutual assets with, multiple U.S. Senators, and their staff, to have those Senators take blocking and sabotage actions against Plaintiffs and on behalf of Defendants.

In one case, at the request of State and Federal officials, Plaintiffs produced a technology which had the goals of creating American jobs, providing a safer solution for an industrial need, using domestic resources, being long-term upgradeable, addressing the domestic security danger of seeking to not acquire resources from foreign nations in conflict, and solving a number of other domestic needs. Plaintiffs produced the technology and won extensive media and industry acclaim, federal patent awards, a Congressional commendation, a federal grant and other acknowledgements. Unbeknownst to Plaintiffs, Defendants had arranged a kick-back scheme with government officials and contractors over foreign mining rights to be exploited in their competing technology. The afore mentioned Senators, and federal agency heads, were benefitting via campaign funding and insider trading in exchange for carving out exclusive rights, contracts and monopolistic market paths for the Defendants technology, while sabotaging Plaintiffs technology in self-interest. History has shown that Defendants technology has been proven to be inferior, toxic, self-explosive, subject to short life spans, a national security risk and incapable of

providing a single one of the domestic advantages listed above. Defendants went to “war” against Plaintiffs, in order to seek to denigrate Plaintiffs technology for their own profits.

Defendants Cartel hired media tabloid services, bloggers and mass blogging “troll farms” to produce a character assassination, brand defamation and HR database sabotage program in order to engage in retribution and economic destruction activities against Plaintiff, when Plaintiff began reporting the crimes and abuses. This resulted in extensive economic and personal loss to Plaintiffs. Because Defendants owned and controlled the majority of the technology which operated the global internet, they were able to inflict malicious, substantial, permanent and devastating damage on Plaintiffs. The Cartel then took the character assassination hatchet jobs and had their associates, at Google, lock the links to them on the top lines of the front page of Google so that the damage would be maximized. They had Google refuse to comply with legal removal notices. They FAXed and emailed the attack articles to Plaintiff’s clients and employers in order to get them fired, or to terminate any job interviews or proposal submissions. The Cartel used their resource ownership at Axciom, Palantir, Recorded Future, LucidWorks, Oracle & SAP database services, to embed “red flag notices” and defamation slander on all background checks and HR hiring inquiries relative to Plaintiff’s in order to make certain Plaintiff’s could not acquire jobs or income.

Defendants have demonstrated an ongoing, strategic and increasingly vindictive program of intellectual and economic property theft, misappropriation and sabotage in order to avoid paying for products that they have now made nearly $100 Billion dollars off of. Defendants have now lobbied Congress to over-throw U.S. Patent laws in order to make it impossible for Plaintiffs, and other’s in Plaintiff’s position to use U.S. Patent rights to recover their damages from the theft and sabotage of things that Plaintiffs personally invented. Defendants have spent nearly a billion dollars seeking to bribe and influence elected officials to remove domestic inventor patent rights, entirely out of sheer greed.

Defendants have manipulated California state officials in the tax, economic development, and contracting groups in order to get exclusive incentives, cash and government perks for their projects, while having those officials terminate, or block those same resources for Plaintiffs.

Defendants have manipulated Federal officials in the tax, economic development, and contracting groups in order to get exclusive incentives, cash and government perks for their projects, while having those officials terminate, or block those same resources for Plaintiffs.

In all cases, history has shown Plaintiffs technology to have been superior to Defendants copy-cat or competing versions.

In a portion of the sabotage attempts against, Plaintiffs, Defendants had news articles published which said that Plaintiffs technology would never work, yet Plaintiffs copied the technology and it was found to work exactly as Plaintiffs had stated it would. In no case has any technology, invented by Plaintiff failed to operate. In every case, every technology first created, designed, engineered and demonstrated by Plaintiffs is now operational, globally, and generated billions of dollars for other entities, a large number of which are owned or controlled by Defendants.

Defendants used, what the SEC refers to as “Flash Boy Technology” as well as “skimming”, “stock pumps”, “DOE valuation hype pumps” and other stock market manipulation schemes in order to acquire unjust gains from the stock market as well as what U.S. Treasury investigators called “unjust gains at the expense of taxpayers” by not only taking profits off-the-top, from federal loans and awards, but then using the losses from those same companies, that suddenly, and mysteriously, went bankrupt, to gain profits on their tax forms. Defendants stated, to Cartel members, at the Montgomery Securities Conference in San Francisco, on camera and microphone, that they had targeted Plaintiffs technology for termination and that their competing effort would be focused on.

Defendants have a record of engaging in threats and intimidation against competitors and those who report their crimes. This case will present evidence by the families, friends and witnesses of those who also suffered such attacks, and worse. Whistle-blowers who had discussed the Cartel activates are now dead, under suspicious circumstances. At least one Plaintiff has been infected with some sort of heavy metal toxicity poisoning. The number of dead bodies associated with The Cartel seems to exceed the limits of “simple coincidence”.